Rec'd PCT/PTO 03 FEB 2005

PATENT COOPERATION TR



REC'D 28 JUN 2004

10/523412

WIPO

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 1128WOORD02				FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)					
PC	T/EP	03/08		International filing date 06.08.2003			Priority date (day/month/year) 10.08.2002		
Inter CO	rnatior 7D40	nal Pat 1/14	ent Classification (IPC) or be	oth national classification	and IPC		.:		
	licant FANA		ARMA AG						
1.	This Auti	s inter hority	national preliminary exar and is transmitted to the	nination report has bee applicant according to	en prepar Article 3	red by this i 6.	nternational Preliminary Examining		
2.	This	REP	ORT consists of a total o	f 6 sheets, including t	his cover	sheet.			
ı	This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).								
	The:	se anı	nexes consist of a total o	f sheets.		•			
3.	This	repor	t contains indications rel	ating to the following it	ems:				
	i	\boxtimes	Basis of the opinion						
	II		Priority						
	Ш	\boxtimes	Non-establishment of o	pinion with regard to n	ovelty, in	ventive step	o and industrial applicability		
	IV		Lack of unity of invention	n ·					
	V	Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicabilicitations and explanations supporting such statement							
	VI		Certain documents cited						
	IIV		Certain defects in the in	ternational application					
	VIII		Certain observations on			•			
Date o	Date of submission of the demand				Date of c	completion of	this report		
	17.02.2004				25.06.2004				
Name prelim	Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:				Authorized Officer				
European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465				epmu d	Weisbro Telephon	od, T e No. +49 89	2 2399-8931		

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/08675

I.	Basis	of	the	re	noa	t
----	-------	----	-----	----	-----	---

1. With regard to the elements of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)): Description, Pages 1-18 as originally filed Claims, Numbers 1-18 as originally filed 2. With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is: the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)). the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)). the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3). 3. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing: contained in the international application in written form. filed together with the international application in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished. The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished. 4. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of: the description. pages: the claims. Nos.: the drawings. sheets: This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have 5. been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)). (Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (January 2004)

6. Additional observations, if necessary:

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/08675

ıı.	IVOI	n-establishment of opinion wi	ııı reg	jard to nove	ny, mvenuve step and industrial applicability				
1.		The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non- obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:							
		the entire international applica	ution,						
C	Ø	claims Nos. 17-18			•				
		pecause:							
	☒	the said international application, or the does not require an international preliminational prelimination.			e said claims Nos. 17-18 relate to the following subject matter which inary examination (specify):				
	see separate sheet								
		the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):							
		the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful op could be formed.							
		no international search report	has be	en establish	ed for the said claims Nos.				
2.	or a	meaningful international preliminary examination cannot be carried out due to the failure of the nucleotide and r amino acid sequence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative istructions:							
		the written form has not been furnished or does not comply with the Standard.							
		the computer readable form ha	as not	been furnish	ed or does not comply with the Standard.				
٧.	Rea cita	soned statement under Artic tions and explanations supp	le 35(orting	2) with rega such stater	rd to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; nent				
	Stat	tement							
	Nov	relty (N)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-18				
	Inve	entive step (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-18				

1-16

Yes: Claims

Claims

No:

2. Citations and explanations

Industrial applicability (IA)

see separate sheet

EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

Re Item I

Basis of the opinion

The application is directed to

- pyrrolidinedione-1-yl-(CH₂)₁₋₄C(O)- substituted piperidinyl-phthalazones (1) (i) (claims 1-12),
- the first medical use of such compounds (1) (claim 13), (ii)
- a pharmaceutical composition comprising compounds (1) (claim 14),
- the second medical use of compounds (1) (claims 15-16), and (iv)
- (v) the corresponding therapeutic methods (claims 17-18).

Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

1 Claims 17-18 relate to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of these claims (Article 34(4)(a)(i) PCT).

Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- 1 Reference is made to the following documents.
 - D1: WO 02/085906 A, 31.10.2002; cited in the application.
 - D2: WO 02/064584 A, 22.08.2002; cited in the application.
 - D3: WO 01/94319 A, 13.12.2001; cited in the application.
 - D4: WO 98/31674 A, 23.07.1998; cited in the application.

Documents D1 and D2 were published after the priority date. Under the presumption that the priority is valid for the claimed matter these documents are not considered as prior art under Rule 64.1 PCT.

2 Novelty **EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

D3 relates inter alia to piperidinyl-phthalazones as combined beta-2-adrenoceptor 2.1 agonists and PDE4 inhibitors from which the present compounds (1) differ through the pyrrolidinedione-1-yl-(CH₂)₁₋₄C(O)- substituent R⁹.

D4 discloses PDE4-inhibiting 2-substituted phthalazones wherein the 2-substituent inter alia represents N-methylpiperidinyl. The present claimed matter is novel over D4 through the N-piperidinyl substituent R9 of the compounds (1).

In view of D3 and D4 the application complies with the criterion of novelty according to Article 33(2) PCT.

- 2.2 **D1** and **D2** disclose inter alia pyrrolidine-1-yl-(CH₂)₁₋₄C(O)- substituted piperidinylphthalazones (cf. D1 and D2, claim 1) from which the present compounds differ in having a pyrrolidine-dione rather than a pyrrolidine substituent within R9. The said documents appear thus not relevant to the question of novelty of the present claimed matter.
- Inventive Step 3
- The application describes the synthesis of the compounds (1) of claim 7 and 3.1 shows that this compound represents a PDE4 inhibitor (the application, page 18).
- 3.2 Starting from D3 or D4 as most relevant state of the art, the problem underlying the present application may be seen in the provision of further PDE4 inhibitors. Due to the fact that the documents D3 and D4 teach merely piperidinylphthalazones as PDE4 inhibitors with very specific piperidinyl-N-substituents (i.e. D4: N-methyl-piperidinyl; and D3: a substituent -NH-CH(R8)C(Ar2)OH; see e.g. page 42, the product of reaction 10) it does not appear unequivocally obvious that the present claimed compounds would retain the desired activity. Consequently, in view of only D3 and D4 an inventive step may be acknowledged for the present claims 1-18.
- 4 Industrial Applicability

For the assessment of the present claims 17-18 on the question whether they are

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY International application No. PCT/EP 03/08675 **EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.

- 5 Deficiencies of the Application under 6 PCT
- The present set of claims is objected under Article 6 PCT for lack of conciseness, because the claims 7-12 are all directed to identical subject matter i.e. the compound of example 1 and salts thereof.
- 5.2 Furthermore, in the second medical use claim 15 the therapeutic application is only functionally defined by a mechanism of action which does not allow any practical application in the form of a defined, real treatment of a pathological condition. This objection could be overcome by either introducing in the claims a list of pathological conditions (diseases) cited in the application, or by showing that means are available which would allow the skilled person to recognise which additional condition(s) would fall within the functional definition.

٠,